

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.
DOMESTIC GEOGRAPHIC NAME PROPOSAL

Proposed name
SANTA TERESA MOUNTAINS
State **Arizona**
County **Graham**

Reason for Proposal: Check appropriate box(es)
Controversial name ☒ ☐ Name change
Changed application ☐ ☐ Other
Is name in local use?
No ☐ ☒ Yes If Yes, approx. No. of years 60

Location of Feature Latitude ° ' " N. Section(s) T. R.
Longitude ° ' " W. Gila & Salt River Meridian

Brief description of feature:

A large mass of mountains extending approximately 15 miles from Mt. Turnbull (Lat. 33°04'26" - Long. 110°15'38") in the north to Pinnacle Ridge (Lat. 32°47'01" - Long. 110°15'10") in the south.

MAPS USING PROPOSED NAME	VARIANT SPELLING OR OTHER NAME	MAP SHOWN ON
GS-San Carlos Reservoir 15'-1962	Turnbull Mountains	US Geologic Bulletin 763 pl.1
AMS Tucson 1:250 000 - 1956		

Available information as to origin, spelling, and meaning of the proposed name and/or statement concerning nature of difference in usage or application:

There is a Mt. Turnbull which is part of the Santa Teresa Mountains. Mt. Turnbull is probably the best known name in the area and therefore the error probably occurred. The local residents, including the U. S. Bureau of Land Management officials, agree that Santa Teresa Mountains is the correct name.

AUTHORITY FOR NAME	ADDRESS	OCCUPATION
Myron H. Allen	USBLM, Safford, Arizona	Range Superintendent

Indicate number of people consulted other than above authorities: 10

SUBMITTED BY:

Individual or private organization

Name _____ Date _____

Address _____

Government agency (State or Federal) ☐

Check appropriate box

Field officer of a mapping agency ☐

Agency _____ Date 7/16/63

Name and title TOPOGRAPHIC DIVISION
U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Address 345 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD
MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA

CARTOGRAPHY SECTION
MAP EDITING UNIT
PACIFIC AREA
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

INSTRUCTIONS

This form should be used to provide supporting information on Domestic geographic name proposals. Names should be reported when more than one name is given to a feature by local inhabitants, and/or on official maps, or in reports of Federal, State, and county agencies; also if there is disagreement as to the extent of the feature or the application of the name. A full statement covering the field investigation of each name is required in order that adequate evidence may be submitted in proper form to the Board on Geographic Names for decision on the following:

1. Names that have more than one local spelling.
2. Names where local spelling differs from that shown on previously published maps or reports.
3. Conflicting name usage due to change in property ownership.
4. Conflicting published names in sparsely settled areas where local usage cannot be determined.
5. New names, that is, recently assigned or proposed names for features previously unnamed. (Use Board on Geographic Names form, Proposal of Name for an Unnamed Domestic Feature.)

Established names in local use, even if previously unpublished, are not considered new names. If spelling, application, and extent of use are undisputed, a name may be accepted for publication without submitting it to the Board on Geographic Names for approval.